DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket No. 96-45 Access Reform CC Docket 96-262

REPLY COMMENTS OF AMERITECH

Ameritech submits its Reply Comments in response to the Commission's further notice of proposed rulemaking in the above matter. In the FNPRM, the Commission seeks comment on certain aspects of a possible new mechanism for providing high-cost universal service support to non-rural local exchange carriers ("LECs"). In its Comments, Ameritech proposed that the Commission simply retain the existing high-cost support system, which has a proven track record of efficiently and economically providing the appropriate level of cost support. The comments filed in this proceeding by the other parties provide no rational reason for the Commission to consider adoption of an unproven new mechanism of enormous complexity and size. Moreover, the comments demonstrate beyond a doubt that the parameters of any such new mechanism will be purely arbitrary and designed to achieve a pre-determined fund size.

1. Ameritech proposes that the Commission retain the existing support mechanism.

In its Comments, Ameritech demonstrated that the Commission is considering a new support mechanism, even though there is no evidence that the existing system is deficient. In fact, both the Commission and the Joint Board concede that rates today are

No. of Copies rec'd OHC

"affordable." Nor is there any suggestion that rates between urban and rural areas are not "reasonably comparable."

Because of legitimate concerns about a potential drastic increase in the size of a new fund, and its potential adverse impact on consumers, in the FNPRM the Commission asks about ways to limit the size of the fund. In its Comments, Ameritech pointed out the answer is simple – stay with the existing system, which already successfully minimizes both payments and administrative costs and burdens to the appropriate level required to keep rates affordable. Moreover, despite the Commission's concerns, there is no reason to believe that states will not modify intrastate support mechanisms, as necessary to respond to increased pressure on the existing implicit subsidies caused by increased competition.

Ameritech pointed out in its Comments that the setting of the parameters of the new mechanism is arbitrary and subject to challenge. As a result, Ameritech proposed that if, in spite of the above, a new mechanism is adopted, its parameters (i.e.: benchmark level, area over which LEC costs are averaged, assumed state support amount) should be set with an eye to producing a reasonable result – a fund size roughly within current parameters. But, on balance, the best course is to simply retain the existing support system, and deal with any requests for additional funding from a state that finds that it can no longer provide adequate intrastate support, if and when it arises.

¹ In fact, the current support mechanism is already operating to reduce the size of the fund. According to the third quarter 1999 estimate submitted by the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC"), non-rural carriers will receive \$210 million in high-cost funding for 1999, a decrease of \$45 million from the 1998 support level of \$255 million.

Since the filing of Ameritech's Comments, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit has issued its decision upholding in part, and reversing in part, the Commission's universal service plan.² The uncertainty created by this decision provides an additional compelling reason for retaining the existing mechanism. For instance, in that case, the 5th Circuit reversed (slip.op. at 34) the Commission's decision "that includes intrastate revenues in the calculation of the universal service contributions" on jurisdictional grounds. Moreover, the Court also reversed on jurisdictional grounds, (slip.op. at 35) the Commission's finding that it "could refer . . . carriers to the states for recovery of . . . contributions." In light of the severe doubt concerning the Commission's jurisdiction to adopt a mechanism that covers both intrastate and interstate services and revenues, the Commission should decline to adopt any new mechanism achieving that result, or if it rejects Ameritech position recommending retention of the existing system, the Commission should at least limit the new mechanism to interstate services and revenues.

2. The comments confirm that the current mechanism is adequate and should not be changed.

The comments filed in this matter confirm the soundness of simply retaining the existing support mechanism, and to responding to any need for additional funding, in the future, if and when that need arises. Most tellingly, no party provides any evidence supporting a claim that current rates for non-rural carriers are unaffordable or not reasonably comparable, or that there is any valid reason to change funding

² <u>Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel</u> v. <u>Federal Communications Commission</u>, No. 97-60421 (5th Circuit, 1999).

mechanisms or to increase the size of the fund, at this time. In summary, the current system is not "broken" and need not to be fixed.

3. The comments demonstrate that proposed parameters for the proposed new support mechanism will be arbitrary and designed to produce a desired result.

The comments confirm Ameritech's position that the proposed funding mechanism will be based upon subjective parameters. The proposals for the parameters of the new mechanism cover the entire range of logical possibilities, with each party proposing ones that fit its particular needs and circumstances. However, what these proposals confirm is that each parameter is truly arbitrary, and that there is no consensus upon which to build. Based upon the record, the Commission has no objective basis for selecting parameters for the new mechanism

For example, various parties have suggested different benchmarks and/or different levels of "state responsibility." When taken together, they yield a predetermined fund size (either "large" or "small"). However, no compelling logic is presented for any of these proposals, except that they produce a desired outcome. The following is only a sample of the range of proposals thrown at the Commission.

- 1. AT&T proposes a 200% benchmark and a high state responsibility (pp. 8-10. "The Commission should set the cost benchmark and the revenue per-line parameters to yield a federal fund approximately the size of the current explicit federal high cost support mechanism."
- 2. **Sprint** suggests that the benchmark should be 150% but that only 37.5% of the costs exceeding the benchmark should be eligible for funding. States should be responsible for \$1.00 in support per line per month. (pp. 14-18)
- 3. **BellSouth** suggests that varying the benchmark is an administratively easy way for the Commission to adjust the fund size regardless of the level of aggregation. (i.e. if costs are computed at the study area level, then you could have a lower

- benchmark. If costs are computed at the UNE zone or wire center level, then raising the benchmark will limit the size of the fund) (pp. 6-8).
- 4. US West apparently wants a large fund and suggests that the benchmark be no higher than 115% and that support be calculated at the smallest practical geographic area ("grids") which are smaller than wire centers. (pp. 9-13)
- 5. West Virginia Consumer Advocate says that the benchmark should be 135% (halfway between 115% and 150% which is the range suggested by the Joint Board) and that state support should be \$2 per line per month. (p. 3)
- 6. ITCs says that the benchmark should be set at 100% but that 115% is acceptable for non-rural carriers. (p. 3-4)
- 7. Western Wireless suggests that the benchmark be set no lower than 150% and that the following table should be used to determine support:

Cost per line (relative to national average)	Support provided
Less than 150%	0
150%-200%	25%
200%-300%	50%
300%-500%	75%
Above 500%	100%

Moreover, Bell Atlantic correctly points out that the cost model itself is flawed, and that the results of the model make no sense.³

As MCI points out, the variables only "merit" is that their values can be manipulated to yield an estimated "need" for whatever level of funding is being sought.⁴ Under the circumstances, all the Commission should do is recognize that the parameters of the proposed new mechanism are essentially arbitrary, and adopt ones that produce a fund that provides the appropriate level of funding over time. However, the better course is to simply retain the existing mechanism.

³ Bell Atlantic, p. 4 and Exhibit 1.

⁴ MCI Comments, pp. 3-6, 9-10.

4. Conclusion.

For the above reasons, Ameritech reiterates it proposal that the Commission retain the current mechanism for non-rural carriers. Since the Commission and the industry already know that the current fund size is adequate, and that it is an efficient and cost-effective mechanism for collection and distribution of high-cost support, it makes no sense to devise a new system that at best would achieve the same outcome.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael S/Pabian

Larry A. Peck

Counsel for Ameritech

Room 4H86

2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

(847) 248-6074

Dated: August 6, 1999

[Larry/lap0335Unvsl Supp Reply]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Grace Germain, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of Ameritech has been served on all parties of record, via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 6th day of August, 1999

Chace Hermain

Grace Germain

ANNE U MAC CLINTOCK
VICE PRESIDENT
REGULATORY AFFAIRS & PUBLIC POLICY
THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND
TELEPHONE COMPANY
227 CHURCH STREET
NEW HAVEN CT 06510

PAUL H KUZIA VICE PRESIDENT ENGINEERING AND REGULATORY ARCH COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC 1800 WEST PARK DRIVE SUITE 350 WESTBOROUGH MA 01581

KATHY L SHOBERT
DIRECTOR FEDERAL AFFAIRS
GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC
901 15TH STREET NW SUITE 900
WASHINGTON DC 20005

RANDY ZACH
TCA INC
3617 BETTY DRIVE SUITE I
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80917

CHARLES C HUNTER
CATHERINE M HANNAN
ATTORNEYS FOR
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS
ASSOCIATION
1620 I STREET NW SUITE 701
WASHINGTON DC 20006

ROBERT HOGGARTH
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
500 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 700
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1561

JOSEPH A GODLES
ATTORNEY FOR
PANAMSAT CORPORATION
1229 19TH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20554

PHILIP V OTERO
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL
COUNSEL
GE AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS INC
FOUR RESEARCH WAY
PRINCETON NJ 08540

RICHARD MCKENNA HQE03J36 ATTORNEY FOR GTE SERVICE CORPORATION P O BOX 152092 IRVING TX 75015-2092 GAIL L POLIVY
ATTORNEY FOR
GTE SERVICE CORPORATION
1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON DC 20036

LON C LEVIN
VICE PRESIDENT AND REGULATORY
COUNSEL
AMSC SUBSIDIARY CORPORATION
10802 PARK RIDGE BOULEVARD
RESTON VA 22091

ROBERT A MANSBACH ATTORNEY FOR COMCAST CORPORATION 6560 ROCK SPRING DRIVE BETHESDA MD 20817

RAYMOND G BENDER JR
J G HARRINGTON
ATTORNEYS FOR
VANGUARD CELLULAR SYSTEMS INC
SUITE 800
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20037

CHRIS FRENTRUP SENIOR REGULATORY ANALYST MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP 1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID R POE
YVONNE M COVIELLO
ATTORNEYS FOR
TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS HOLDING
INC
THE UNITED STATE
1875 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON DC 20009

MARY MC DERMO
LINDA KENT
CHARLES D COSS
ATTORNEYS FOR
THE UNITED STATE
1401 H STREET IN
WASHINGTON DC

MARY MC DERMOTT
LINDA KENT
CHARLES D COSSON
ATTORNEYS FOR
THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOC
1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20005

PAMELA J. RILEY
KATHLEEN Q ABERNATHY
DAVID A GROSS
ATTORNEYS FOR
AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS INC
1818 N STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAMES R FORCIER
AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS INC
ONE CALIFORNIA STREET 9TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

RACHEL B FERBER VICE PRESIDENT ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL 360 COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY 8725 HIGGINS ROAD CHICAGO IL 60631

ROBERT MC KENNA
KATHRYN MARIE KRAUSE
ATTORNEY FOR
US WEST INC
SUITE 700
1020 19TH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAY C KEITHLEY
LEON M KESTENBAUM
H RICHARD JUHNKE
ATTORNEYS FOR
SPRINT CORPORATION
1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CRAIG T SMITH ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT CORPORATION P O BOX 11315 KANSAS CITY MO 64112

THE HONORABLE JULIA JOHNSON COMMISSIONER FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-0850 STEVE ELLENBECKER CHAIRMAN
DOUG DOUGHTY DEPUTY CHAIRMAN
KRISTIN H LEE COMMISSIONER
WYOMING PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
700 WEST 21ST STREET
CHEYENNE WYOMING 82002

PAT WOOD III
ROBERT W GEE
JUDY WALSH
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
1701N CONGRESS AVE
AUSTIN TX 78711-3326

DAVID A BECKER ESQ
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
1580 LOGAN STREET OFFICE LEVEL 2
DENVER CO 80203

RICHARD M SBARATTA REBECCA LOUGH M ROBERT SUTHERLAND ATTYS FOR BELLSOUTH CORPORATION BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS INC 1155 PEACHTREE STREET NE STE 1700 ALTANTA GA 30309-3610

LAWRENCE W KATZ
ATTORNEY FOR
THE BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE CO
EIGHTH FLOOR
1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD
ARLINGTON VA 22201

DAVID KAUFMAN ESQ NEW MEXICO STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION P O BOX 1269 SANTA FE NM 87504-1269 MARK C ROSENBLUM
PETER H JACOBY
JUDY SELL
ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T
ROOM 3244J1
295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE
BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

MICHAEL F ALTSCHUL VICE PRESIDENT GENERAL COUNSEL RANDALL S COLEMAN VP REGULATORY CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION 1250 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 200 WASHINGTON DC 20036 JAMES S BLASZAK
KEVIN S DI LALLO
ATTORNEYS FOR
AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS
COMMITTEE
1300 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20036-1703

DAVID A IRWIN ATTORNEY FOR ITCS INC 1730 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036 PETER A ROHRBACH
DAVID L SIERADZKI
ATTORNEYS FOR
GE AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS INC
555 THIRTEENTH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004

LEONARD J KENNEDY RICHARD S DENNING COUNSEL FOR NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS INC SUITE 800 1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036-6802 JOE D EDGE RICHARD J ARSENAULT ATTORNEYS FOR PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE COMPANY 901 FIFTEENTH STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005

JAMES VOLZ ESQ
PETER M BLUHM ESQ
VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
SERVICE
DRAWER 20
MONTPELIER VT 05620-2601

RICHARD A ASKOFF ATTORNEY FOR NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOC 100 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD WHIPPANY NJ 07981

JAMES ROWE ALASKA TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION 4341 B STREET SUITE 304 ANCHORAGE AK 99503 DR BARBARA O'CONNOR CHAIRWOMAN MARY GARDINER JONES PRESIDENT ALLIANCE FOR PUBLIC TECHNOLOGY 901 15TH STREET NW SUITE 230 WASHINGTON DC 20005 SAMUEL LOUDENSLAGER ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION P O BOX 400 LITTLE ROCK AR 72203-0400 HEIKKI LEESMENT ESQ
DEPUTY RATEPAYER ADVOCATE
STATE OF NJ DIVISION OF THE RATEPAYER
ADVOCATE
31 CLINTO ST 11TH FLOOR
P O BOX 46005
NEWARK NJ 07101

PAUL B JONES
JANIS A STAHLHUT
DONALD SHEPHEARD
TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS
HOLDING INC
300 FIRST STAMFORD PLACE
STAMFORD CT 06902-6732

ANGELA J CAMPBELL ILENE R PENN JOHN PODESTA INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC REPRESENTATION GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW CTR 600 NEW JERSEY AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20001

LINDA KENT
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOC
1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20005-2164

KATHERINE GRINCEWHICH
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
UNITED STATES CATHOLIC CONFERENCE
3211 4TH STREET NE
WASHINGTON DC 20017-1194

KEVIN TAGLANG
BENTON FOUNDATION
1634 EYE STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

SAM COTTEN
ALASKA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
1016 WEST SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 400
ANCHORAGE AK 99501

KENNETH BURCHETT VICE PRESIDENT GVNW INC/MANAGEMENT P O BOX 230399 PORTLAND OR DAVID L SHARP
CEO
PRESIDENT
VIRGIN ISLANDS TELEPHONE CORP
P O BOX 6100
ST THOMAS US VIRGIN ISLANDS 00801

ROBERT M HALPERIN ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA 1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20004 MARGOT SMILEY HUMPHREY ATTONREY FOR THE RURAL TELEPHONE COALITION 1150 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON DC 20036

LISA M ZAINA STUART POLIKOFF OPASTCO 21 DUPONT CIRCLE NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON DC 20036 DAVID COSSON L MARIE GUILLORY NCTA 2626 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20037

HERBERT E. MARKS
JAMES M FINK
ATTORNEYS FOR
THE STATE OF HAWAII
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20044

SUE D BLUMENFELD MICHAEL G JONES JENNIFER DESMOND MC CARTHY ATTYS FOR LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS THREE LAFAYETTE CENTRE 1155 21ST STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20036

CHRISTOPHER W SAVAGE
ATTORNEY FOR
CENTENNIAL CELLULAR CORP
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 200
WASHINGTON DC 20006

HENRY D LEVINE
LAURA F H MC DONALD
ATTORNEYS FOR
NYCHA MASTERCARD AND VISA
1300 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAMES S BLASZAK
JANINE F GOODMAN
ATTORNEYS FOR
IBM
1300 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20033-1703

ALAN R SHARK
PRESIDENT
AMERICAN MOBILE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION
1150 18TH STREET NW SUITE 250
WASHINGTON DC 20036

STEVE HAMLEN
PRESIDENT
UNITED UTILITIES INC
5450 A STREET
ANCHORAGE AK 99518-1291

RAUL R RODRIGUEZ
DAVID S KEIR
ATTORNEYS FOR
COLUMBIA COMMUNICATIONS CORP
2000 K STREET NW SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20554

ELISABETH H ROSS
ATTORNEY FOR
THE VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD
AND THE VERMONT DEPT OF PS
1155 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON DC 20036-4308

BENJAMIN H DICKENS JR GERARD J DUFFY COUNSEL FOR THE WESTERN ALLIANCE 2120 L STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20037

MARIANNE DEAGLE
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD
TOPEKA KS 66604-4027

DAVID HIGGINBOTHAM
PRESIDENT
TELETOUCH LICENSES INC
P O BOX 7370
TYLER TX 75711

KENNETH D SALOMON
J G HARRINGTON
ATTORNEYS FOR
IOWA TELEOMMUNICATIONS AND
TECHNOLOGY COMMISSION
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW STE 800
WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID W DANNER SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES P O BOX 42445 OLYMPIA WA 98504-2445

FREDERICK M JOYCE RONALD E QUIRK JR ATTORNEYS FOR OZARK TELECOM INC 1019 19TH STREET PH-2 WASHINGTON DC 20036 SANDRA ANN Y H WONG ATTORNEY FOR SANDWICH ISLES COMMUNICATIONS INC PAUAHI TOWER SUITE 2750 1001 BISHOP STREET HONOLULU HAWAII 96813 MICHAEL H OLENICK GENERAL COUNSEL FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION THE CAPITOL SUITE 1701 325 W GAINES STREET TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-0400 SUSAN LEHMAN KEITEL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR NEW YORK LIBRARY ASSOC 252 HUDSON AVE ALBANY NY 12210-1802

JIM GAY
PRESIDENT
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIRECTORS
IRON WORKS PIKE
P O BOX 11910
LEXINGTON KY 40578-1910

PAUL J BERMAN ALANE C WEIXEL ATTORNEYS FOR FIDELITY TELEPHONE COMPANY P O BOX 7566 1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVE WASHINGTON DC 20044-7566

ALBERT H KRAMER
ROBERT F ALDRICH
ATTORNEYS FOR
AMERICAN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS
COUNCIL
2101 L STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526

JEROME K BLASK
DANIEL E SMITH
ATTORNEYS FOR
PRONET
1400 16TH STREET NW SUITE 500
WASHINGTON DC 20036

KATH L SHOBERT
DIRECTOR
FEDERAL AFFAIRS
GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS
SUITE 900
901 15TH STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

CAROLYN C HILL ATTORNEY FOR ALLTEL TELEPHONE SERVICES CORP 655 15TH STREET NW SUITE 220 WASHINGTON DC 20005

MICHAEL S WROBLEWSKI
ATTORNEY FOR
TELHAWAII INC
SUITE 1300
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004

CHERYL A TRITT
CHARLES H KENNEDY
ATTORNEYS FOR SPRINT SPECTRUM
2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW STE 5500
WASHINGTON DC 20006-1888

LAWRENCE G. MALONE GENERAL COUNSEL PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK THREE EMPIRE STATE PLAZA ALBANY, NEW YORK 12223-1350

STEVEN T. NOURSE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES SECTION 180 E. BROAD ST. COLUMBUS, OH 43215

MYRA L. KAREGAINES GENERAL COUNSEL OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION 160 N. LASALLE, SUITE C-800 CHICAGO, IL 60601

WILLIAM H. SMITH, JR
FEDERAL AND LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMS
COORDINATOR
IOWA UTILITIES BOARD
350 MAPLE STREET
DES MOINES, IOWA 50319

ANTHONY M. MARQUEZ
FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
1525 SHERMAN ST. - 6TH FLOOR
DENVER, COLORADO 80203

PETER ARTH, JR.
LIONEL B. WILSON
ELLEN S. LEVINE
ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
AND THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
505 VAN NESS AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

RICHARD A. BEVERLY GENERAL COUNSEL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 717 FOURTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

AMY E. DOUGHERTY COUNSEL FOR KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 730 SCHENKEL LANE P.O. BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40602

MICHAEL T. SKRIVAN HARRIS, SKRIVAN & ASSOCIATES, LLC 8801 S. YALE, SUITE 450 TULSA, OK 74137

ROBERT M. LYNCH
ROGER K. TOPPINS
HOPE THURROTT
ATTORNEYS FOR SBC COMMUNICATIONS
INC.
ONE BELL PLAZA, ROOM 3023
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202

SAMUEL E. EBBESEN
PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OFFICER
VIRGIN ISLANDS TELEPHONE
CORPORATION
P.O. BOX 6100
ST. THOMAS, USVI 00801-6100

GEORGE N. BARCLAY MICHAEL J. ETTNER ATTORNEYS FOR GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION 1800 F STREET, N.W., RM 4002 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20405

ROBERT J. AAMOTH
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
ATTORNEY FOR COMPETITIVE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASC.
1200 19TH STREET, N.W., SUITE 500
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

CHUCK GOLDFARB MCI WORLDCOM, INC 1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006

RONALD L. RIPLEY
VICE PRESIDENT AND SENIOR
CORPORATE COUNSEL
DOBSON COMMUNICATIONS
CORPORATION
13439 NORTH BROADWAY EXTENSION
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73114

MICHELE C. FARQUHAR DAVID L. SIERADZKI RONNIE LONDON HOGAN & HARTSON, L.L.P. COLUMBIA SQUARE 555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-1109

MARK C. ROSENBLUM JUDY SELLO ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T CORP ROOM 324511 295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE BASKING RIDGE, NEW JERSEY 07920 SUSAN STEVENS MILLER
DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL
MARYLAND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
6 SAINT PAUL STREET
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202

E. BARCLAY JACKSON, ESQ.
HEARINGS EXAMINER
NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION
8 OLD SUNCOOK ROAD
CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03301-7319